Cost of cyber fraud in Russia: a compensating variation approach Extended abstract

Dmitriy Skougarevskiy*

November 23, 2022

THE THREAT OF CYBER CRIME LOOMS LARGE IN MODERN RUSSIA. The volume of transactions without the consent of bank customers amounted to some 9 bln rubles in 2020¹ while Russians reported to have been victims of 3.6 mln wire fraud crimes in 2021 [Serebrennikov and Titaev, 2022]. The cost of such crimes can be both tangible and intangible: from monetary losses due to successul attempts to defraud to dissatisfaction from unwanted robocalling. This note estimates the cost of cyber crime in Russia through an indirect approach. Using a national victimization survey conducted in 2021, I estimate the elasticity of respondents' life satisfaction with respect to cyber crime victimization and income. With estimated elasticities, I calculate the compensating variation: the increase in household income which would compensate for the decrease in life satisfaction due to cyber crime victimization.

This compensating variation approach stems from happiness economics [Frey and Stutzer, 2002] and is well-known in economics of crime [Moore, 2006, Cohen, 2008, Braakmann, 2012, Ambrey et al., 2013, Cheng and Smyth, 2015, Johnston et al., 2018, Brenig and Proeger, 2018]. My identification rests on the assumption that risk of cyber crime victimization is random. Current crime technology is such that criminals call random phone numbers in an effort to exploit those who pick up the phone.

Using this method, I estimate the gross losses of the Russian society from remote fraud. Given the low reporting and registration of this type of crime and the fact that cyber fraud can be committed outside the banking system, this estimate complements official data. I diaggregate the obtained estimates by respondents' observables such as age, reported income, education, or computer literacy.

References

Christopher Ambrey, Christopher Fleming, and Matthew Manning. The life satisfaction approach to estimating the cost of crime: An individual's willingness-to-pay for crime reduction. mimeo, Griffith Business School, 2013.

Nils Braakmann. How do individuals deal with victimization and victimization risk? Longitudinal evidence from Mexico. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 84(1):335–344, 2012.

Mattheus Brenig and Till Proeger. Putting a price tag on security: Subjective well-being and willingness-to-pay for crime reduction in Europe. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, 19(1):145-166, 2018.

Zhiming Cheng and Russell Smyth. Crime victimization, neighborhood safety and happiness in China. *Economic Modelling*, 51:424-435, 2015.

Mark Cohen. The effect of crime on life satisfaction. Journal of Legal Studies, 37(2):325-353, 2008.

Bruno S. Frey and Alois Stutzer. What can economists learn from happiness research? *Journal of Economic Literature*, 40(2):402-435, 2002. doi: 10.1257/002205102320161320. URL https://www.aeaweb.org/articles?id=10.1257/002205102320161320.

David Johnston, Michael Shields, and Agne Suziedelyte. Victimisation, well-being and compensation: Using panel data to estimate the costs of violent crime. *Economic Journal*, 128(611):1545–1569, 2018.

Simon Moore. The value of reducing fear: an analysis using the European Social Survey. *Applied Economics*, 38(1):115–117, 2006.

Dmitriy Serebrennikov and Kirill Titaev. Dinamika prestupnosti i vikitimizatsiya v rossii 2018-21. https://enforce.spb.ru/images/rcvs_2021_block_online.pdf, 2022.

1

^{*}Associate Professor of Empirical Legal Studies, European University at St. Petersburg

Bank of Russia. An overview of reporting information security incidents in the transfer of funds. During the Q1-Q3 of 2020 the volume was to 6.7 bln rubles, which was linearly extrapolated to the entire calendar year. URL: https://cbr.ru/analytics/ib/review_1q_2021/, https://cbr.ru/analytics/ib/review_2q_2021/, https://cbr.ru/analytics/ib/review_3q_2021/.